

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b> ANNUAL (INS1, INS	S2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FU	UI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0110020 DATE: <u>01/28/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11.00</u> DEPART: <u>2.00</u>				
FACILITY NAME: FT LAUDERDALE READY-MIX CCB PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 2500 SW 2ND AVE					
FT LAUDERDALE 33315-3114					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY LANCASTER PHONE: (954)425-4227					
CONTACT NAME: Johnny Centen	PHONE:				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/30/2008 /	11/30/2013				
(effective date) (e	end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STA	TUS (check / only one how)				
	_				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR No	on-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING RE</u> (check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	<b>QUIREMENTS</b> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.				
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted du	uring this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter				
	(batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	)			
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?   Yes  No					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					
unless such rate is unachievable in practic	ce? \times Yes \tau No atcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer	)			
to this question is "Yes", then continue or	n to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then				
	stion 5.)				
b) During the visible emissions test, was	the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and				
		)			
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?					
i					
		- 1			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
	le 🗌			
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing  ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No			
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes □ No			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \(\sigma\) Yes \(\sigma\) No				
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind		_		
particulate matter from stock piles?		⊠Yes □ No		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate e	missions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No		
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Ru	ıle 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
		☐Yes ⊠ No		
	b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? Yes No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?				
local program office?		∐Yes ∐ No		
CP'44	01/09/2000			
CPitters	01/28/2009			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
•	1			
	01/28/2010			
		_		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: No environmental violations were observed during calendar vr 2009 compliance inspection.				